

DIVERSITY OF STRESS RANGES IN  
CABLES OF CABLE-STAYED BRIDGE DUE  
TO TRAFFIC LOADS AND FAR-FIELD  
SEISMIC EXCITATION

LEE CHONG HOE

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

---

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : LEE CHONG HOE

ID Number : AA15290

Date : 30 May 2019

DIVERSITY OF STRESS RANGES IN CABLES OF CABLE-STAYED BRIDGE  
DUE TO TRAFFIC LOADS AND FAR-FIELD SEISMIC EXCITATION

LEE CHONG HOE

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the award of the  
B. Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2019

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nor Ashikin Binti Muhamad Khairussaleh for her patient supervision, guidance and advice throughout the entire period of my study. Her guidance and dedication provided a significant impact throughout the research and writing of this thesis. As my teacher and mentor, she has taught me more than I could ever give her credit for. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my study.

Besides my supervisor, this work would not have been possible without the constant technical support from the technical team of the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources, especially Mr. Saifullah and Mr. Huzari. Without their support and tolerance with my demanding usage of the computer laboratory, this study would not have been completed within the scheduled timeframe.

Nobody has been more important to me in the pursuit of this project more than my family parents. I am truly grateful for my parents' continuous financial and emotional support throughout the years of my study.

Last but not least, I would like to acknowledge my university, Universiti Malaysia Pahang for providing me with the proper resources under the research grant of UMP RDU 1703202 for my undergraduate research project.

## ABSTRAK

Secara amnya, analisis kelesuan di jambatan memberikan penekanan yang besar kepada beban hidup kitaran dalam bentuk beban lalu lintas dan angin yang bergerak. Walau bagaimanapun, peningkatan aktiviti seismik di rantau ini menyebabkan struktur keluli lebih terdedah kepada fenomena kelesuan di mana ianya menambahkan beban kitaran yang mana dapat mengurangkan jangka hidup kelesuan mereka. Dalam kajian ini, analisis kelesuan terhadap jambatan kabel dengan mengambilkira bebanan secara statik dan dinamik dilakukan dengan memberi tumpuan kepada variasi tekanan dalam kabel kerana kesan beban lalu lintas yang bergerak dan galakan gerak tanah. Reaksi dinamik jambatan tertakluk kepada beban lalu lintas dan pergerakan bawah tanah yang secara mendatar dan menegak. Beban trafik yang digunakan adalah berdasarkan Fatigue Load Model (FLM) yang mana digunakan untuk menghasilkan beban kelesuan yang setara dengan trafik sebenar. Dalam kajian ini, Fatigue Load Model 4 (FLM4) telah digunakan sebagai bentuk beban trafik yang digunakan kerana kemampuannya menghasilkan pelbagai hasil dengan ketepatan yang mencukupi. Dua bentuk konfigurasi trafik akan digunakan; beban lalu lintas dalam konfigurasi Tunggal Lori dan konfigurasi Konvoi Lori. Tiga set usul tanah menegak jauh dari pelbagai magnitud juga telah digunakan dalam analisis terhadap jambatan kabel ini. Keputusan kajian ini menunjukkan pengaruh ketara beban trafik terhadap variasi tekanan jambatan kabel yang mempunyai kesan yang ketara disebabkan oleh pergerakan tanah dalam tempoh '*return period*' yang dijangkakan. Dalam kajian ini didapati bahawa kabel yang lebih hampir dengan tiang jambatan dan kabel di hujung rentang sisi jambatan mengalami perubahan yang ketara dari segi perbezaan tekanan apabila terdedah kepada beban lalu lintas dalam konfigurasi Tunggal Lori akibat interaksi setempat antara kabel dan penyebaran beban yang lebih kecil. Walau bagaimanapun, kombinasi beban yang melibatkan beban lalu lintas dalam konfigurasi Konvoi Lori menghasilkan peningkatan yang ketara dalam julat tekanan maksimum yang dialami oleh kabel yang disambungkan kepada rentang utama dan juga '*backstays*' yang disambungkan kepada tiang dan '*anchorage*' berhampiran dengan hujung rentang sisi jambatan. Berdasarkan keputusan analisis yang dilakukan, penempatan beban bergerak dalam konfigurasi ini mempunyai spektrum yang lebih luas dari segi kesannya pada jambatan kabel. Selain itu, hasil analisa berdasarkan pergerakan tanah dari magnitud yang berbeza telah menunjukkan bahawa peningkatan kepada kekuatan gempa bumi yang mana secara langsung akan meningkatkan peningkatan tekanan maksimum yang dialami oleh kabel di sepanjang jambatan.

## ABSTRACT

Generally, the analysis of fatigue in bridges places a large emphasis on cyclic live loads in the form of moving traffic and wind loads. However, the rise in seismic activities in the region increases the exposure of steel structures towards additional cyclic loads that could reduce their fatigue life. In this study, the static and dynamic behaviour of a cable-stayed bridge in terms of fatigue of steel elements are addressed by focusing on the stress variation in stay cables due to the effects of moving traffic loads and ground motion excitations. Dynamic responses of the bridge are subjected to moving traffic loads and ground motions considering horizontal and vertical motions from far-field faults. The applied traffic loads are based on Fatigue Load Model (FLM) to produce fatigue damage equivalent to actual traffic. In this study, Fatigue Load Model 4 (FLM4) have adopted as a form of applied traffic load due to its ability to produce a wider range of results with sufficient accuracy. Two forms of traffic configuration will be used: traffic loads in Single Lorry configuration and Convoy Lorry configuration. A suite of three far-field vertical ground motions of varying magnitude has been used. Effects of traffic loads and ground motion on variations of nominal stress in stay cables are presented. The results of this study revealed the notable influence of traffic loads on stress variations of cable-stayed bridges with significant effects due to ground motions scaled to the expected return period. It has been found that stay cables closer to the pylons and side span supports experienced a significantly large stress range when exposed towards traffic loads in Single Lorry configuration due to the localized interaction between the stay cables and narrower load distribution. In contrast, load combinations involving traffic loads in Convoy Lorry configuration resulted in a substantial increase in the maximum stress ranges experienced by stay cables connected to the main span as well as backstays connecting the pylon head to the anchorages near the side span supports. Based on the results, the placement of moving loads in this configuration has a wider spectrum in terms of its effect on the cable-stayed bridge. Moreover, analysis results based on the application of ground motions of different magnitudes have shown that increments to the strength of an earthquake would tend to increase the maximum stress ranges experienced by stay cables throughout the cable-stayed bridge.

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

**DECLARATION**

**TITLE PAGE**

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** **i**

**ABSTRAK** **ii**

**ABSTRACT** **iii**

**TABLE OF CONTENT** **iv**

**LIST OF TABLES** **vii**

**LIST OF FIGURES** **ix**

**LIST OF SYMBOLS** **xii**

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS** **xiii**

**CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION** **1**

1.1 Preamble 1

1.2 Problem Statement 6

1.3 Objectives 7

1.4 Scope of Research 8

1.5 Significance of Research 9

**CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW** **10**

2.1 Introduction 10

2.2 History of Cable-Stayed Bridges 10

2.3 Present-Day Cable-Stayed Bridges 14

2.3.1 Cable Arrangement in Cable-Stayed Bridges 15

2.3.2	Structural Elements of Cable-Stayed Bridges	17
2.3.2.1	Bridge Deck	17
2.3.2.2	Pylons	20
2.3.2.3	Cables	21
2.4	Failure of Cable-Stayed Bridges	26
2.5	Fatigue	28
2.6	Stress Ranges	32
2.7	Earthquakes	33
2.8	Tectonic Conditions of Peninsular Malaysia	37
2.9	Seismic Hazards in Peninsular Malaysia	40
<b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>42</b>
3.1	Introduction	42
13.2	Bridge Description	42
3.3	Analytical Model of the Second Penang Bridge	43
3.4	Traffic Loadings	48
3.4.1	Traffic Fatigue Load Model (FLM)	48
3.4.1.1	Fatigue Load Model 4	50
3.4.2	Load Model Selection	51
3.4.1	Application of Fatigue Load Models	52
3.4.1	Vehicle Traverse Velocity	53
3.4.1	Traffic Alone	55
3.4.1	Traffic in Convoy	55
3.5	Ground Motion Records	56
3.5.1	Ground Motion Data Selection	57
3.5.2	Ground Motion Data Sets	61



3.5.3	Application and Analysis of Ground Motion Records	62
3.6	Global Analysis	63
3.6.1	Initial Equilibrium Condition	63
3.6.2	Traffic Loading Analysis	63
3.6.3	Ground Motion Loading Analysis	64
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>		<b>65</b>
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Static Behaviour of Cable-Stayed Bridge	65
4.2.1	Baseline Stay Cable Forces and Stress	68
4.3	Stay Cable Behaviour Due To Moving Traffic	72
4.3.1	Cable Stresses Due To Single Lorry Configuration	73
4.3.2	Cable Stresses Due to Convoy Lorry Configuration	78
4.4	Influence of Far-Field Ground Motion	84
4.4.1	Correlation of Peak Ground Acceleration and Magnitude of Ground Motions on Cable Stresses	84
4.5	Influence of Ground Motions and Traffic Loadings	95
4.6	Concluding Remarks	99
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION</b>		<b>101</b>
5.1	General Overview	101
5.2	Main Conclusion	101
5.3	Limitations of Research	103
5.4	Suggestions and Future Recommendations	104
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>106</b>
<b>APPENDIX A NOMINAL STRESSES IN STAY CABLES</b>		<b>112</b>

<b>APPENDIX B MAXIMUM STRESS RANGES AND RANGE OF APPLIED FORCE IN STAY CABLES</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>APPENDIX C MAXIMUM STRESS RANGES IN STAY CABLES</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>APPENDIX D CRITICAL STAY CABLES BASED ON MAXIMUM STRESS RANGES IN THE 85<sup>TH</sup> PERCENTILE</b>	<b>134</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Comparison between cable steel and structural steel based on typical values	23
Table 2.2	Mechanical properties of different type of cables	25
Table 2.3	Earthquakes that affected Peninsular Malaysia	38
Table 2.4	Local earthquake occurrences in Peninsular Malaysia	40
Table 3.1	Indicative number of heavy vehicles expected per year and per slow lane	49
Table 3.2	Traffic loading pattern for Single Lorry	55
Table 3.3	Traffic loading pattern for lorry in convoy	56
Table 3.4	Ground motion parameters of far-field ground motions	61
Table 4.1	Average values of the maximum stress range and nominal stress experienced by stay cables of a cable-stayed bridge due to different magnitudes of ground motion records	92

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Chaotianmen Bridge	2
Figure 1.2	Akashi Kaikyō Bridge, Kobe	3
Figure 1.3	Load path of a typical cable-stayed bridge	4
Figure 2.1	Bridge systems investigated by Claude Navier in 1823	11
Figure 2.2	The Dryburgh Abbey Bridge	12
Figure 2.3	The fatal twisting oscillation of the First Tacoma Bridge	12
Figure 2.4	The Strömsund Bridge	14
Figure 2.5	Layout of stays in a planar system	15
Figure 2.6	Different arrangement of stay cables	16
Figure 2.7	Example of a deck formed by a space frame for a proposed cable-stayed bridge in Abidjan, Ivory Coast	18
Figure 2.8	Prestressed concrete deck of the Barrios de Luna Bridge, Spain	19
Figure 2.9	Pylons for two cable planes	21
Figure 2.10	Pylons for single cable plane	21
Figure 2.11	Typical stress-strain curve of galvanized wires in cables	22
Figure 2.12	Collapse of Morandi Bridge, Italy	26
Figure 2.13	Failed anchorage plate at Shipshaw abutment	27
Figure 2.14	Concrete spalling at pylon base of Chi-Liu Cable-Stayed Bridge	28
Figure 2.15	Typical fatigue failure in a steel component	30
Figure 2.16	Stress symbols for varying range of stress	33
Figure 2.17	Tectonic map of Southeast Asia showing major structures, basins and tectonic blocks.	35
Figure 2.18	Earthquake-prone region of Malaysia	36
Figure 2.19	Probabilistic seismic hazard maps with 10% PE in 50 year (RP475-year) on rock site condition for Peninsular Malaysia due to only distant Sumatran earthquakes	41
Figure 2.20	Probabilistic seismic hazard maps with 2% PE in 50 year (RP2475-year) on rock site condition for Peninsular Malaysia due to only distant Sumatran earthquakes.	41
Figure 3.1	Photograph of the Sultan Abdul Halim Muadzam Shah Bridge	43
Figure 3.2	SAP2000 model of the Sultan Abdul Halim Muadzam Shah Cable-Stayed Bridge	44
Figure 3.3	Longitudinal layout of cable-stayed portion of the Sultan Abdul Halim Muadzam Shah Bridge	45
Figure 3.4	Elevation View of H-Pylons	46

Figure 3.5	Cross-section of pylons	46
Figure 3.6	Cross-section of main deck/girder	47
Figure 3.7	Stay cable numbering	47
Figure 3.8	Definition of axles for Fatigue Load Models	50
Figure 3.9	Modified set of equivalent lorry for Fatigue Load Model 4	51
Figure 3.10	Detailed carriageway layout of bridge deck	53
Figure 3.11	Malaysia National Speed Limits based on the type of vehicle	54
Figure 3.12	Modified traffic arrangement in convoy at a 40m equidistant spacing	56
Figure 3.13	Earthquake event map for earthquakes of $M_w > 5.0$	58
Figure 3.14	Tectonic base map of the Sumatra Subduction Zone showing major faults.	59
Figure 3.15	Direction of seismic wave propagation along the length of the cable-stayed bridge	62
Figure 4.1	Live load configuration with 100kN/m was added to the permanent self-weight of the cable-stayed bridge	66
Figure 4.2	Deformed shape of cable-stayed bridge due to different loading configurations	67
Figure 4.3	Initial nominal stress in stay cables due to structural self-weight of cable-stayed bridge and post-tensioning force	69
Figure 4.4	Initial tensile force in stay cables due to structural self-weight of cable-stayed bridge and post tensioning force	69
Figure 4.5	Comparison of nominal stress in stay cables due to uniform load on side span with baseline stress	70
Figure 4.6	Comparison of nominal stress in stay cables due to uniform load on main span with baseline stress	70
Figure 4.7	Comparison of maximum nominal stress in stay cables due to Single Lorry traffic loads with baseline stress of cable-stayed bridge	74
Figure 4.8	Maximum generated stress range of all stay cables due to Single Lorry traffic load configuration	74
Figure 4.9	Location of equivalent lorry (FLM4-C) that resulted in maximum stress range in stay cable LS01 at $T=48.2s$	75
Figure 4.10	Time-history plot function of applied force on stay cable LS01 due to Single Lorry load configuration 4	77
Figure 4.11	Variation of applied forces for all stay cables when cable LS01 is subjected to the maximum applied force	77
Figure 4.12	Comparison of maximum nominal stress in stay cables due to Convoy Lorry traffic loads with baseline stress of cable-stayed-bridge	79

Figure 4.13	Maximum generated stress range of all stay cables due to Convoy Lorry traffic load configuration	79
Figure 4.14	Deformation shape of cable-stayed bridge due to Convoy Lorry configuration at T-16.2s	82
Figure 4.15	Time-history plot function of applied force on stay cable RS18 due to Convoy Lorry load configuration	83
Figure 4.16	Variation of applied forces for all stay cables when cable RS18 is subjected to the maximum applied force.	83
Figure 4.17	Variation of applied force on stay cable LS01 against time of applied ground motion	85
Figure 4.18	Comparison of maximum nominal stress in stay cables due to ground motion records with baseline stress of cable-stayed bridge.	86
Figure 4.19	Maximum generated stress range of all stay cables due to Kocaeli Bornova ground motion	89
Figure 4.20	Maximum generated stress range of all stay cables due to Denali ground motion	89
Figure 4.21	Maximum generated stress range for all stay cables due to San Simeon ground motion.	93
Figure 4.22	Comparison of maximum generated stress range of all stay cables due to ground motions of varying magnitudes scaled to 0.109g	93
Figure 4.23	Comparison of maximum generated stress range of all stay cables due to ground motions of different magnitudes (unscaled)	94
Figure 4.24	Nominal stress in stay cables due to Single Lorry and Convoy Lorry configuration with Denali ground motion.	97
Figure 4.25	Maximum stress range in stay cables due to Single Lorry and Convoy Lorry configuration with Denali ground motion	98
Figure 4.26	Maximum stress range comparison between Convoy Lorry configurations with no applied ground motion, ground motion scaled to 0.04g and ground motion scaled to 0.109g	98

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

MPa	Mega Pascal
GPa	Giga Pascal
m	metre
mm	millimetre
mm <sup>2</sup>	area
km	kilometre
s	seconds
ms <sup>-1</sup>	velocity
w <sub>p</sub>	weight per unit length
ρ <sub>s</sub>	density
g	gravitational acceleration (9.81ms <sup>-1</sup> )
A <sub>T</sub>	cross-sectional area
F <sub>p,o</sub>	Initial post-tension force
γ <sub>eq</sub>	Equivalent Tangential Modulus of Elasticity
N	Newton
N/mm <sup>2</sup>	Pressure/Stress
kN	Kilo Newton
σ <sub>m</sub> σ <sub>α</sub>	Stress
M <sub>w</sub>	Moment Magnitude Scale
%	Percent
C	Carbon
Si	Silicon
Mn	Manganese
Cu	Copper
Ni	Nickel
Cr	Chromium
P	Phosphorus
S	Sulphur
E	Modulus of Elasticity
R <sub>epi</sub>	Epicentral Distance

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BA	Button Anchorage
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
UTS	Ultimate Tensile Strength
$Y_s$	Yield Strength
MBP	Minimum Breaking Point
E	East
N	North
S	South
PGA	Peak Ground Acceleration
GMPE	Ground Motion Prediction Equations
PSHA	Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Maps
PE	Probabilities of Exceedance
RP	Return Period
Nos.	Numbers
BS	British Standard
EN	Eurocode
FLM	Fatigue Load Model
$N_{obs}$	Number of estimated heavy vehicles per year per slow lane
PEER	Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research
NGA	Next Generation Attenuation
USGS	United States Geological Survey
CESMD	Center for Engineering Strong Motion Data
H	Horizontal Axis
V	Vertical Axis
2D	Two-dimension
3D	Three-dimension
LHS	Left Hand Side
RHS	Right Hand Side
DL	Dead Loads
PS	Post-tension



SL	Single Lorry
CL	Convoy Lorry
KCL	Koceali Bornova
DNL	Denali
SSN	San Simeon
EQ	Earthquake
MET	Malaysia Meteorological Department

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Preamble**

Since the early 1940s, longer bridges became very important due to the requirement of a large amount of traffic use daily from one place to another place. The long-span bridges not only to enhance the efficiency of the entire transportation system globally but also to reduce the travel time that could take hours to arrive. There are three types of long-span bridges based on the main span length which are:

1. Arch Bridge (max span of 552m)
2. Cable-Stayed Bridge (max span of 1104m)
3. Suspension Bridge (max span of 3911m)

Arch bridges are known by their arch or curved structure that provides structural support to the entire structure. Due to the nature of the curved shape, vertical loads that comes from both the structural self-weight and imposed loads such as traffic loads are transferred along the arch to both ends of the abutments. The result of such structure allows the vertical loads to be distributed uniformly along the entire span of the bridge, ensuring mutual support among all parts of the structure. In conventional thrust arches, this would result in greater reliance on horizontal restraint of the supports, therefore imposing a significant magnitude along the horizontal component. This in return requires the support of the arch to be anchored into foundation material that is competent in bearing the loads such as rocks. However, rocks are not always available for the use of foundations in such a way that there exists a requirement for significant engineering that is un-economic towards the foundation as compensation. In response to such limitations, tied-arch bridges introduce the deck as a tie member that takes the forces along the horizontal component and reducing the forces that have to be resisted by the supports to

predominantly vertical loads (Ayres C., 2015). Consequently, the application of a tie enables arch bridges to achieve longer spans as they are no longer limited by the supports but rather on the properties of the materials used. Holding the current record for the world's longest arch bridge, is the Chaotianmen Bridge that spans 552 meters across the Yangtze River in Chongqing, China as presented in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1: Chaotianmen Bridge (China Communications Construction Company Ltd., 2010)

Meanwhile, suspension bridges utilize large cables that pass over the cable saddles on the towers and are anchored on both ends of the bridge to suspend the bridge deck. The main cables sustain tensile forces that transfer from the deck through hanger cables and transfers them into both the towers and the anchorages where the towers are designed to sustain forces that are dominant along the direction of gravity and the anchors resist a larger magnitude of horizontal forces. In contrast, allowable stress of materials is one of the limitations when it comes to the maximum span length of bridges. Till this day, steel and concrete remain as the predominant material in terms of the construction of long-span bridges due to their availability and continuous improvement. To date, the Akashi Kaikyō Bridge in Kobe shown in Figure 1.2 holds the title for the world's longest suspension bridge at a span of 1991 meters.



Figure 1.2: Akashi Kaikyō Bridge, Kobe. (Rötzel K., 2005)

In the case of the cable-stayed bridge, the maximum span is limited by the compressive stress in the bridge deck with the deck, pylons and stay cables being the main load carrying members. Stress components in bridge decks are comprised of axial stress and flexural stress due to the bending moment induced by the vertical and lateral loadings. The arrangement of stay cables consequently results in the increase of axial forces in the deck with a relative decrease in the distance with the towers (Gimsing, N. J. & Georgakis, C. T., 2012).

In addition, torsional stiffness is crucial in resisting torsional oscillations that originates from eccentric loadings and aerodynamic actions. Conventional box girders present in most cable-stayed bridges are capable of providing sufficient resistance to massive forces. Furthermore, increments to the torsional rigidity of girders can be achieved by spacing out the distance between two cable planes. This ties in directly to the option of increasing the cross-sectional size of the girders to increase its allowable stress and effectively enhances the spanning ability of cable-stayed bridges (Gimsing, N. J. & Georgakis, C. T., 2012).

Cable-stayed bridges are comprised of cables that connect the bridge girder to the pylons to form a series of overlapping triangles as depicted in Figure 1.3. All of the members are under compressive forces with the exception of the cables that are in tension. For a typical cable-stayed bridge, the occurrence of high local flexural stresses is mainly

## REFERENCES

Abdelghaffar, A. M. & Nazmy, A. S. (1991) 3-D Nonlinear Seismic Behavior of Cable-Stayed Bridges. *Journal of Structural Engineering-Asce* 117(11): p. 3456-3476.

Albrecht, P. & Wright, W. (2000) Fatigue and fracture of steel bridges. *European Structural Integrity Society* 26: p. 211 - 234.

Arciniega-Ceballos, A., Baena-Rivera, M. & Sánchez-Sesma, F. J. (2018) The 1985 (M8.1) Michoacán Earthquake and Its Effects in Mexico City. *Proceedings of Living Under the Threat of Earthquakes*. Springer, Cham, pp. 65 - 75.

Arup Malaysia. (2015), Second Penang Bridge. [Photograph]. [Accessed on November 2018]. [https://www.arup.com/-/media/arup/images/projects/s/second-panang-bridge/image-1\\_second-panang-bridge--arup-malaysia\\_2000x1125.jpg?h=1125&la=en&w=2000&hash=1764FEF328BFF39B81BB0D44E7145D025AF51991](https://www.arup.com/-/media/arup/images/projects/s/second-panang-bridge/image-1_second-panang-bridge--arup-malaysia_2000x1125.jpg?h=1125&la=en&w=2000&hash=1764FEF328BFF39B81BB0D44E7145D025AF51991)

Ayres, C. (2015) 14 Primary Pros And Cons Of Arch Bridges [Online]. [Accessed 13<sup>th</sup> November 2018]. Available from World Wide Web: <https://greengarageblog.org/14-primary-pros-and-cons-of-arch-bridges>

Billington, D. P. & Nazmy, A. (1991) History and Aesthetics of Cable-Stayed Bridges. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 117(10):3103-3134.

Boardman, B. & Deer and Company (1990) Fatigue Resistance of Steels. *ASM Handbook* 1.

BSI (2005) BS EN 1993 Part 1-9: Design of Steel Structures: Fatigue. London: British Standards Institution.

BSI (2003) BS EN 1991-2 Part 2: Traffic Load on Bridges. London: British Standards Institution.

BSI (2008) NA to BS EN 1991 Part 2: Traffic Loads on Bridges. London: British Standards Institution.

Cajka, M. & Drysdale, J. (1989) Intensity Report of the November 25, 1988 Saguenay, Québec, Earthquake [Online]. [Accessed 12 January 2019]/ Available from World Wide Web: [http://www.earthquakescanada.nrcan.gc.ca/historic-historique/events/19881125\\_int-en.php2018](http://www.earthquakescanada.nrcan.gc.ca/historic-historique/events/19881125_int-en.php2018)

Camara, A. (2018) Seismic Behaviour of Cable-Stayed Bridges: A Review. Medcrave Online Journal of Civil Engineering 4(3): p. 161 - 169.

Casado, A. C. (2011) Seismic Behaviour of Cable-Stayed Bridges: Design, Analysis and Seismic Devices. In Department of Continuum Mechanics and Theory of Structures. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid.

Chang, K. C., Mo, Y. L., Chen, C. C., Lai, L. C. & Chou, C. C. (2004) Lessons Learned from the Damaged Chi-Lu Cable-Stayed Bridge. Journal of Bridge Engineering 9(4): p. 343-352.

Charusiri, P. & Palloplee, S. (2015) Regional Tectonic Setting and Seismicity of Thailand with Reference to Reservoir Construction. Proceedings of GEOTHAI'07 International Conference on Geology of Thailand: Towards Sustainable Development and Sufficiency Economy, p. 248 - 287.

China Communications Construction Company Ltd. (2010) Chaotianmen Yangtze River Bridge Project in Chongqing City. [Online]. [Accessed on November 2018]. [http://en.ccccltd.cn/business/btbot/BT/201011/t20101112\\_1609.html](http://en.ccccltd.cn/business/btbot/BT/201011/t20101112_1609.html)

Corbett, P. W., Buckby, R. J. & Wee, E. L. (2010) Penang Bridge Widening: Design and Construction Challenges. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Bridge Engineering 163(3): p. 125-135.

European Steel Design Education Programme (1998) Lecture 12.2: Advanced Introduction to Fatigue. [Online]. [Accessed on 22 October 2018]. Available from World Wide Web:<http://fgg-web.fgg.uni-lj.si/~pmoze/ESDEP/master/wg12/10200.htm2018>).

Filliatrault, A., Tinawi, R. & Massicotte, B. (1993) Damage to Cable-Stayed Bridge During 1998 Saguenay Earthquake II: Dynamic Analysis. Journal of Structural Engineering 119. p.1450 - 1463.

Flint & Niel Partnership Consulting Engineers (2004) Derivation of the UK National Annex to Clause 4.6: Fatigue Load Models: Eurocode 1: Actions on structures - Part 2: Traffic loads on bridges. London.

Föhl, K. (2005) Pont de Dryburgh Abbey, Imag2381, [Photograph]. [Accessed on 1<sup>st</sup> December 2018]: <https://files1.structurae.de/files/photos/1720/imag2381.jpg>

Fowler, C. (1990) The Solid Earth. An Introduction to Global Geophysics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Geist, E. (2008) Tsunami Generation from the 2004 M=9.1 Sumatra-Andaman Earthquake. Pacific Coastal and Marine Science Center, United States Geological Survey (USGS) [Online]. [Accessed March 2019]. Available from World Wide Web: [https://www.usgs.gov/centers/pcmsc/science/tsunami-generation-2004-m91-sumatra-andaman-earthquake?qt-science\\_center\\_objects=0#qt-science\\_center\\_objects2019](https://www.usgs.gov/centers/pcmsc/science/tsunami-generation-2004-m91-sumatra-andaman-earthquake?qt-science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects2019)

Gimsing, N. J. & Georgakis, C. T. (2012) Cable Supported Bridges: Concept and Design Third Edition. Sussex: John Wiley & Sons.

Griffin, A. (2018) Why did the Genoa bridge collapse? Engineering experts weigh in on disaster that left 35 dead. [Online]. [Accessed 16<sup>th</sup> December 2018]. Available from World Wide Web: <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/science/genoa-bridge-collapse-italy-why-did-disaster-engineering-experts-a8491811.html2018>

Heidbach, O., Tingay, M., Barth, A., Reinecker, J., Kurfeß, D. & Müller, B. (2008) The world stress map database release 2008.

Hobbs, R. E. & Ghavami, K. (1982) The Fatigue of Structural Wire Strands. International Journal of Fatigue 4(2):69-72.

Hou Man, L. B., Peng Yun Dong, Chang Chung Man (2018) Design of Main Bridge of Second Penang Bridge in Malaysia. International Journal of Transportation Engineering and Technology 4(2): p. 65 - 42

Imam, B. M. & Chryssanthopoulos, M. K. (2012) Causes and Consequences of Metallic Bridge Failures. Structural Engineering International 22(1): p. 93-98.

Kumar, S. R. S. & Kumar, A. R. S. (2012) Fatigue of steel structures. Indian Institute of Technology Madras.

Kundu, B., Legrand, D., Gahalaut, K., Gahalaut, V. K., Mahesh, P., Kamesh Raju, K. A., Catherine, J. K., Ambikapathy, A. & Chadha, R. K. (2012) The 2005 volcano-tectonic earthquake swarm in the Andaman Sea: Triggered by the 2004 great Sumatra-Andaman earthquake. Tectonics 31(5):n/a-n/a.

Looi, D. T. W., Tsang, H. H. & Hee, M. C. (2017) Seismic Hazard Modelling for Malaysia and Singapore. Proceedings of The 2017 World Congress in Advances in Structural Engineering and Mechanics (ASEM17), 28 August – 1 September 2017, Ilsan(Seoul), Korea.

Manson, S. S., Smith, R. W. & Hirschberg, M. H. (1964) Fatigue Behavior of Materials Under Strain Cycling In Low and Intermediate Life Range. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio.

Marto, A., Soon, T. C., Kasim, F. & Yunus, N. Z. M. (2013) Seismic Impact in Peninsular Malaysia. Proceedings of The 5th International Geotechnical Symposium, pp. 22 - 24.

Mendes, T. a. A. (2010) Composite Steel-Concrete Bridges with Double Composite Action. Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal.

Mohamed Taib, I. B. (2011) The Second Penang Bridge: In: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Seminar Kejuruteraan Awam (SEMKA) Program Perdana Semester II Sesi 2010/2011.).

Mohamed Taib, I. B. (2016) Jambatan Sultan Abdul Halim Mu'adzam Shah Sustainable Design, Construction & Maintenance: In: Seminar Kejuruteraan Awam (SEMKA) Program Perdana Semester II Sesi 2015/2016.

Morales, M. & Bauer, D. (2006) Fatigue and remaining life assessment of steel bridges more than 50 years old. Proceedings of 7th International Conference on Short & Medium Span Bridges 2006.

Muhamad Khairussaleh, N. a. B. (2016) Fatigue Of Cable Anchorages On A Cable-Stayed Bridge. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey.

Nabilah, A. B. & Balendra, T. (2012) Seismic Hazard Analysis for Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Journal of Earthquake Engineering 16(7): p. 1076-1094.

Nakamura, S. & Hosokawa, H. (1989) A study on the fatigue design of parallel wire strands on cable-stayed bridges. Doboku Gakkai Ronbunshu 6(410): p. 157-166.

Ohio Department of Transportation. (2009) Bridge Inspector's Reference Manual. In Section 12- Special Bridges. [Online]. [Accessed December 2018]. Available from World Wide Web: <http://www.dot.state.oh.us/Divisions/Engineering/Structures/bridge%20operations%20and%20maintenance/Bridge%20Inspectors%20Reference%20Manual/Forms/AllItems.aspx>

Omar, K. & Jhonny (2009) Crustal Deformation Study in Peninsular Malaysia Using Global Positioning System. Proceedings of Postgraduate Seminar Faculty of Geoinformation Science & Engineering 2009.



Park, S. H. & Lee, C. S. (2017) Relationship between mechanical properties and high-cycle fatigue strength of medium-carbon steels. *Materials Science and Engineering a-Structural Materials Properties Microstructure and Processing* 690: p. 185-194.

Parke, G. A. R. (2014) *Engineering Materials Unit 2: Fatigue* University of Surrey, Surrey.

Pollock, E. (2018) Italy's Morandi Bridge Collapse—What Do We Know? [Online]. [Accessed on 21<sup>st</sup> October 2018]. Available from World Wide Web: <https://www.engineering.com/BIM/ArticleID/17517/Italys-Morandi-Bridge-Collapse-What-Do-We-Know>

Rötzel, K. (2005) Akashi Kaikyō Bridge, Bridge.Jpg, [Photograph]. [Accessed on 8<sup>th</sup> October 2018]: [https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Akashi\\_Bridge.JPG](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Akashi_Bridge.JPG)

Roylance, D. (2001) Fatigue. Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. [Online]. [Accessed on 12<sup>th</sup> November 2018]. Available from World Wide Web: [https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/materials-science-and-engineering/3-11-mechanics-of-materials-fall-1999/modules/MIT3\\_11F99\\_fatigue.pdf](https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/materials-science-and-engineering/3-11-mechanics-of-materials-fall-1999/modules/MIT3_11F99_fatigue.pdf)

Schijve, J. (2009) *Fatigue of Structures and Materials*. India, Springer Netherlands.

Sham, R., Fan, F. & Feng, L. Y. (2013) Construction Of The Cable-Stayed Bridge. *Proceedings of Second International Seminar on the Design & Construction of the Second Penang Bridge*.

Shoushtari, A. V., Bin Adnan, A. & Zare, M. (2018) Incorporating the local faults effects in development of seismic ground-motion hazard mapping for the Peninsular Malaysia region. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 163(168): p. 194-211.

Shrestha, B. (2014) Seismic response of long span cable-stayed bridge to near-fault vertical ground motions. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering* 19(1): p. 180-187.

Shrestha, B. & Tuladhar, R. (2012) The response of Karnali Bridge, Nepal to near-fault earthquakes. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Bridge Engineering* 165(4): p. 223-232.

Smith, J. O. (1942) The Effect of Range of Stress on the Fatigue Strength of Metals. *Engineering Experiment Station Bulletin Series No. 334 XXXIX (No. 25)*.

Timothy D. Ancheta, R. B. D., Jonathan P. Stewart, Emel Seyhan, Walter J. Silva, Brian S.J. Chiou, Katie E. Wooddell, Robert W. Graves, Albert R. Kottke, David M. Boore, Tadahiro Kishida, and Jennifer L. Donahue. (2013) PEER NGA-West2 Database. Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkely. [Online]. [Accessed on March 2019]. Available from World Wide Web: <https://ngawest2.berkeley.edu/>

Tjia, H. (2010) Geohazard in Malaysia Context: In: Seminar Teknikal Kebangsaan Gempa Bumi & Tsunami di Malaysia (NatSET 2010).

Tuladhar, R., Dilger, W. H. & Elbadry, M. M. (1995) Influence of Cable Vibration on Seismic Response of Cable-Stayed Bridges. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering 22(5):1 p. 001-1020.

Vavryčuk, V. (2015) Earthquake Mechanisms and Stress Field. In: Encyclopedia of Earthquake Engineering. (Beer, M., Patelli, E., Kougiumtzoglou, I., and Au, I. S.-K. (eds)) Springer-Verlag, Pp. 1-21.

Virlogeux, M. (1999) Recent evolution of cable-stayed bridges. Engineering Structures 21(8): p. 737-755.

Virlogeux, M. (2005) State-of-the-art in cable vibrations of cable-stayed bridges. Bridge Structures 1(3), p.133-168.

Walther, R., Houriet, B., Isler, W., Moia, P. & Klein, J. F. (1999) Cable Stayed Bridges Second Edition. Thomas Telford.